



ROBERT K. MASUDA
DEPUTY DIRECTOR - LAND

DEAN NAKANO
ACTING DEPUTY DIRECTOR - WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES
BOATING AND OCEAN RECREATION
BUREAU OF CONVEYANCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
CONSERVATION AND COASTAL LANDS
CONSERVATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT
ENGINEERING
FORESTRY AND WILDLIFE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION
KAHOOLAWE ISLAND RESERVE COMMISSION
LAND
STATE PARKS

STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION DIVISION
601 KAMOKILA BOULEVARD, ROOM 555
KAPOLEI, HAWAII 96707

OAHU ISLAND BURIAL COUNCIL MEETING
MINUTES

DATE: WEDNESDAY, MARCH 8, 2006
TIME: 10:00 A.M.
PLACE: DEPARTMENT OF LAND & NATURAL RESOURCES
1151 PUNCHBOWL STREET, ROOM 132
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813

ATTENDANCE

Members: Jace McQuivey, Chair
Mark Kawika McKeague, Vice-Chair
Van Horn Diamond
Charles Ehrhorn
Alice Greenwood
Analu Josephides
Andrew Keliikoa
Kehaulani Kruse
Aaron Mahi
Linda Kaleo Paik
Lynette "Nettie" Tiffany

Absent: Carolyn "Kehau" Abad (Excused)
Cy Bridges (Excused)
Kalei Kini (Excused)

Staff: Piilani Chang, Oahu Cultural Historian
Vince Kanemoto, Deputy Attorney General
Adam Johnson, Assistant Oahu Archaeologist
Susan Yanos, Secretary

Guests: Alani Apio
Doug Borthwick
William Brizee
Wesley Inouye
Regina Keanaaina
Donna Leong
Paulette Kaleikini
Pua Aiu
Glenn Yim
KeAloha Kuhea
Keith Kaluo

I. CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order by Chair McQuivey at 10:15 am. Verbal roll call was taken by Yanos. The majority of the members were present and quorum was established. Cy Bridges, Carolyn “Kehau” Abad, and Kalei Kini had excused absences.

II. INTRODUCTION OF COUNCIL MEMBERS AND SHPD STAFF

Council members and SHPD Staff introduced themselves. Josephides said a pule.

III. OPENING REMARKS

Chair McQuivey expressed his appreciation to the Vice-Chair and the rest of the council for their understanding for his absence in the past two meetings and for participating in the monthly meetings. McQuivey acknowledged OIBC member Kalei Kini and SHPD staff for their recent efforts in the reburial of the Kualoa ‘iwi kupuna. McQuivey stated that if council members or members of the public would like to place an item on the agenda to make their request known to SHPD staff two weeks prior to the next meeting. McQuivey explained to the public that council meetings should not be used as a forum to personally attack other people but to address issues that relate to the matter at hand. McQuivey stated that the council established a four-minute oral testimony time limit and would like everyone to adhere to it.

IV. APPROVAL OF FEBRUARY 8, 2006 MEETING MINUTES

Correction by McKeague: Page 3, Change “He went on to say that he wasn’t sure why there is a NAGPRA regulation.” to “He went on to say that he wasn’t sure why there is a NAGPRA regulation that is cited in the plan.”

Correction by Josephides; Page 3, Change “Josephides explained who lineal descendants are...” to “Josephides cited the State law which explained who lineal descendants are...”

Motion to approve the February 8, 2006 OIBC Meeting Minutes with the corrections was made and seconded. (Paik/Ehrhorn)

VOTE: ALL IN FAVOR. Motion carries.

V. COUNCIL ACTIONS

A. Burial Treatment Plan for the former Waikiki 3 Theatre Parcel in the Waikiki Ahupuaa, Kona District, Island of Oahu [TMK: (1) 2-6-022:009]

Doug Borthwick from Cultural Surveys Hawaii made an informational presentation. He stated that this burial was encountered during inventory survey in May 2005 and the recommendation from the landowners is preservation in place. He said they waited to

submit a burial treatment plan in order to get input from any descendants that came forward. There are a few options laid out in the plan, which would accommodate preservation in place. Mr. Clarence Medeiros had some comments and sent over examples of different ways to properly acknowledge the kupuna buried on this property. He said that they were looking for clarification in regards to the next step in this process, which would be for the council to recommend preservation in place or relocation. McQuivey asked the council if there were any questions or comments for this plan.

Kruse stated that her initial preference for the different landscaping alternatives was option #1 because it appeared to be the best use of the area.

Josephides asked Borthwick for clarification in regards to certain individuals being deemed as a “potential” cultural descendant. Josephides also asked Borthwick about the specifics of the additional fill materials that will be put over the burial for the proposed long term preservation measures. Borthwick said that the individuals listed in the plan have not been formally recognized by the council yet. Chang said that there are applications being reviewed and will come before the council for final approval. Brizee answered Josephides’ second question about the additional fill materials by stating that they are required to raise the grade about 18 inches. Brizee said that the fill material has to be a compactable material that has a variation of rocks. Josephides expressed his concern about fill materials not always being culturally appropriate.

(Van Horn Diamond entered at 10:35 am)

Kruse asked for clarification in regards to cultural descendants. For instance, the Keohokalole family has already been recognized as a cultural descendant for the Waikiki ahupua‘a. Kruse was unsure why they would need to be recognized again. Chang said that she understands it to be that a cultural descendant needs to be recognized for a specific burial/project and not just for the ahupua‘a.

Greenwood expressed her concern that because it’s a different property and project, it doesn’t necessarily mean that your family has a connection to the burial just because you were already recognized as a cultural descendant for that ahupua‘a. Kruse asked if that would relate to a lineal descendant, which would be directly involved, because a cultural descendant has a more broad definition. Greenwood said that a lineal descendant would be for a specific property.

Diamond offered a possible reason for registering as a cultural/lineal descendant each time for a different burial. If there is a list of cultural descendants for an ahupua‘a, those descendants may not want to be contacted every time there is a burial in that ahupua‘a. Diamond used his own family as an example of that type of situation. Diamond also stated that if someone has already been recognized as a cultural descendant for an ahupua‘a, then maybe all they have to do is reaffirm that they would like to be considered as a cultural descendant for another burial within that ahupua‘a to participate in the consultation process.

(Vince Kanemoto entered at 10:45 am)

Kuhea expressed his opinion regarding cultural and lineal descendants. He believes that he should not have to resubmit his genealogy every time there is a burial. He stated that if someone cannot prove a lineal connection to that burial but they can prove a cultural connection to that ahupua'a then they should be recognized as a cultural descendant. He said that he had a concern about the entrance of the store. He prefers traditional plants over the burial site with a buffer zone to distract people from walking on it. He said that he did not see any reason why there should be benches in the area because it will attract more people to the area.

Brizee explained that this project falls under the Waikiki Special Design district and the City & County Department of Planning and Permitting (DPP) is requiring the benches/seating in order to obtain the design permits for this particular site.

Tiffany posed the question: If this is a cultural issue then would it fall under the same rules? Leong, who is the attorney for the landowner for this project, stated that the design portion of this project is highly discretionary with the department and she can understand the concerns being brought to the table. She went on to say that sometimes they will agree to take it out but sometimes they won't agree to do it. If they do not agree to take it out and the council wants to take it out, then we are caught between two governmental agencies. Leong offered to ask DPP if they would agree to take out the benches by request of this council for cultural reasons. Tiffany said that the benches should be for families that would like to go and pray or visit with the kupuna but in this case the benches are not going to be used for that reason. It appears that the benches would be used as a rest stop for tourists and that would not be right.

Kanemoto reiterated Leong's suggestion to ask DPP to consider removing the benches from this area and suggested that they make a recommendation to the department about it and the department might have more leverage.

Diamond asked Leong whether there is an appeal process if DPP does not want to take these benches away from this area. Leong said that it could take years to have something like this appealed and they would probably take away their permit. Leong said that she will do her best to ask them to remove the benches. However in the meantime, the council and SHPD can review all the different options for landscaping within the burial treatment plan and when the plan is approved, a letter can be written that will state the council's request to DPP that the benches be removed.

Paik stated that she would like to see some kind of contingency plan if DPP doesn't agree to remove the benches because otherwise the plan will continue to come before this council and the 'iwi kupuna will not get reburied. She doesn't think that the kupuna should be held hostage. She commended the landowners for this particular project for recommending preservation in place, which is a big step.

Apio asked Kanemoto to clarify the question of lineal and cultural descendancy that was tabled earlier. McQuivey thanked Apio for reminding the council of this issue and said that the council will finish this discussion and come back to it.

Kuhea asked if the benches need to be in the direct vicinity of the kupuna or if there was a way to move it to another site. Brizee stated that it is required for them to have

scattered benches around the project so that there are rest stops for people to sit down. Josephides thanked them for making the opportunity so that this process could happen but asked how many benches are required by law.

Ehrhorn asked for clarification for a few things: 1) Will any of these options prevent people from walking over the site? 2) In terms of the benches, have you received the special design permit for Waikiki? In observation, Ehrhorn believes that DPP will understand the council's request and will possibly agree to it. Brizee stated that for the special design permit, there is a conditional approval and one of the conditions is the approval by this council and the outcome of the burial. All other conditions have been addressed and accepted by the city and this is the last outstanding item that is needed. In terms of the number requirement of the benches, there is no specific number of benches that are required to be on the site. To address Ehrhorn's first question, Brizee said that when the burial was first found, the entrance for the store was directly in front of it. He went on to say that they have been working with the tenant of that store to shift the entrance in order to distract pedestrian traffic away from the burial site. He stated that each of the landscaping options would accommodate traditional Hawaiian plants and landscape alternative #3 would prevent the most people from walking over the burial. Ehrhorn asked what the tenant of this store thought about the different landscaping options in front of the store. Brizee replied by saying that they are in agreement for all three of the options.

Leong asked for clarification purposes, if the benches were going to be removed, would it be okay to keep the planter and how far away from the burial can they install the planter. Ehrhorn suggested that they put the bench on the other side of the planter so that it is facing out. Brizee said that it could be possible to do that but it could impede on the pedestrian traffic that was calculated for the width of that ramp. Josephides asked if the planter would be easy to remove. Brizee said that the planter will be a cast-concrete or cast-stone bowl that would be permanently attached to the building and have the appearance that it was part of the design.

McQuivey asked Kanemoto to address the question of having to re-file for cultural or lineal descendancy status for each burial and/or project. Kanemoto said that you have to make a claim for a specific burial but if you are recognized as a cultural descendant for a certain area then it's an easy pass for the department to review and recommend for cultural descendancy.

McQuivey asked the council to summarize the discussion. Paik said that she preferred landscaping alternative #3. Kruse said that she would like to retract her previous statement about landscaping alternative #1 and would like to advocate that landscaping alternative #3 also be used. Ehrhorn suggested that the benches face the Kalakaua sidewalk rather than facing the burial site. It was the consensus of the council that they preferred landscaping alternative #3. McQuivey stated that this plan should come before the council at the next meeting for council action.

B. Discussion on Council Direction, Goals and Objectives

McQuivey handed out a document to the council, which is a summary of §13-300-24, Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR). He stated that this summary lists the council's

duties and responsibilities, as well as identifies what the council has jurisdiction over. He would like this summary to be used as framework for a discussion to arise that will help the council address certain issues and answer any questions that the council may have.

McKeague started the discussion by posing the question of how the council should balance the legal aspect of what is required of them and the cultural kuleana that they have. He feels that there is an open door for the council where they are welcome to give recommendations on any matters relating to burial sites.

Diamond stated that SHPD has had some challenges in the legislation and it was his understanding that SHPD had asked for seven positions in their budget but when it came before the Budget and Finance Committee, the positions were deleted. It appears that SHPD is now faced with the challenge of finding funding outside of the administration budget. It was also his understanding that there were efforts made by SHPD to make their positions into civil service positions. He believes it is important for all of the councils to find a way to support SHPD and their need to find funding for regular staff positions. He pointed out that council members are not lobbyists (in the formal sense of the word), but can be deemed as government officials to be called upon as a resource.

McQuivey agreed with Diamond's assessment of the department's predicament. He believes that the council's objective is to support the department because they support the council and the council's ability to be productive is reliant on the support that the department is able to give the council. McQuivey asked if there is anything that the council could do as a whole or individually to help the department. Kanemoto explained that the council members can talk to their legislators individually but if they would like to do it as a council then it would have to be under Chapter 92-2.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes, and it would need to be on the agenda for council action.

Diamond suggested that the council support the department in any way possible to ensure that the burial councils can function properly with the administrative support that the department provides. He went on to say that the burial councils are not the only people who will be adversely impacted, but it is the 'iwi kupuna who are directly impacted because of the department's inability to properly curate and protect them.

Kaleikini added that she feels that the way that SHPD is set up, appears like it is set up to fail.

Paik agreed with Diamond and suggested that the council approach legislators, not only to advocate for positions, but to loosen up restrictions that are put upon the council. She believes that SHPD needs these positions to function properly.

McQuivey summarized the council's thoughts on this subject by stating that it is very important for the department to have these positions because the council relies on the department and the department relies on the council and there is an immediate need that's been addressed to support the bills that relate to SHPD.

Chair McQuivey called for a recess at 11:40 am. The meeting resumed at 11:53 am.

McQuivey suggested that each council member give their thoughts on what the council's goals and objectives are or what they think it should be. Greenwood said it's important for the council to be in consultation with other Native Hawaiian organizations, for instance Office of Hawaiian Affairs, in order to get their thoughts and support for issues that come before the council with Section 106 items such as defining lineal descendants. Diamond recalled seeing an opinion from the Attorney General that was provided to Senator Hanabusa that clarifies the question of lineal descendants. The problem that Diamond has noticed is that the federal agencies are coming to the burial councils for a list of appropriate Hawaiian organizations and the council does not have a formal list available. Ehrhorn suggested that the council create a list of criteria that they would follow when making decisions so that the decisions would be consistent from month to month.

C. Discussion of the Department's collection of 'iwi

McQuivey asked the department to update the council regarding the discussion that occurred in the previous month. Chang stated that she has not been able to draft a formal plan of action for reburying the 'iwi kupuna in the state's curation facility but has focused her attention on trying to identify different places in each district to establish burial preserves in order to rebury large numbers of kupuna.

McKeague recognized that the department is understaffed and that it would take time to see these things actually happen. McKeague asked what the council could do to help the department. Chang said that the council could help the department by identifying landowners who are willing to establish burial preserves on their land.

Tiffany recalled that she told the department that she would talk to Campbell Estate about setting up a burial preserve and they wanted to know how much remains were needed to be reburied. She doesn't think that they have a problem with reburying remains on their land. She also pointed out that having a list of the remains in the state's curation facility will help the council know what needs to be reburied and what has already been put back. Chang suggested that she work with the different district representatives on the council to give them an idea of the volume of remains that need to be reburied in each district.

Kuheha expressed his concern about reburying all the remains in one large burial preserve. He feels that the department should try to contact the families of these 'iwi kupuna. Paik stated that she believes the department is trying to identify at least the ahupua'a in which these 'iwi kupuna came from and each of the district representatives will then try to see what they can do for this particular collection. Paik added that she would like to see these 'iwi kupuna reinterred as soon as possible because they have been out way too long.

Diamond commended the department for the Kualoa reburial and he feels that the site visit to the state's curation facility was helpful especially when a senate bill came before legislation recently regarding Ahu o Laka. McQuivey also commended and stated his appreciation to the department for their hard work. He went on to say that the council supports the department's efforts and wants to help the department in any way they can.

D. Status Update on Wal-Mart case

Kanemoto stated that there is a contested case hearing coming up soon and that the department has been trying to acquire the services of a hearings officer. He is unsure if a hearings officer has been hired yet but expects the case to start soon.

Kaleikini said she is not concerned with the contested hearing case but she is concerned about getting the 'iwi kupuna reinterred. She went on to say that if the 'iwi kupuna cannot be reinterred until this hearing is completed, then she will advocate for the department to hire the hearings officer immediately. Kanemoto said that reinterment is contingent upon completion of the contested hearing case because the 'iwi could be used as evidence (not to be submitted into evidence) but available for viewing.

Kuhea said that he has submitted his papers for this contested hearing case and for the Keleikini and Keana'aina contested hearing case. Kuhea stated that his claim for 'iwi in the Wal-Mart case was for a certain LCA in Piikoi. He stated that his claim was repeatedly deferred because Kapeliela could not verify his claim. Kuhea stated that his family knew there was a Roman Catholic church and cemetery within the metes and bound description of Keolu. This evidence was submitted to the Burial council in the contested hearing. The record shows that there was a church before Kaleioku award was given. The church and headstones were removed, but the 'aina and the 'iwi kupuna were left in place. Kuhea stated that he submitted a request to intervene in the Wal-Mart contested case on the side of Aki Sinoto. Kanemoto stated that he was not aware that Kuhea submitted a request to intervene, but he informed the council that Kaleikini submitted a formal written request to intervene on the side of Historic Preservation.

Kaleikini expressed her concern about the size of the vaults for reinterment. Kaleikini said that it was previously believed that there were 44 'iwi kupuna, but now the number is about 63. She feels that the burial treatment plan needs to be expanded to accommodate all 'iwi and not "jammed" into the two vaults recommended in the burial treatment plan.

Paik stated that her main purpose for being on the council is to reinter as quickly as possible. She felt that in the Wal-Mart case, the kupuna are being held hostage. Paik felt that reinterment of these 'iwi is long overdue and added that she strongly feels that it is not pono for the council to leave these kupuna in boxes, under the ramp. Kanemoto explained that this is a Catch 22 situation. SHPD has sought enforcement against alleged violations; but as part of the process, those against whom enforcement are being sought are entitled to a contested case hearing and, therefore, as part of that process, the 'iwi becomes evidence. Paik asked Kanemoto if it is possible for the two sides to agree that whatever evidence is available, that's it, for the sake of reinterment. Kanemoto responded that the hearing officer would be the one to make factual determinations and legal conclusions.

Kaleikini again raised her concern about a revised burial treatment plan to accommodate all 63 'iwi. Kanemoto recommended that the Chair request that the Department reconsider the burial treatment plan for this project because of the increased number of burials. Leong stated that in October 2004, Wal-Mart held several meetings with SHPD and the descendents. At the last meeting with the descendents, a burial treatment plan

was agreed upon by all the descendents at the meeting. Leong recalled that SHPD presented that burial treatment plan to this council for information only because SHPD did not feel it needed the council's recommendation on the burial treatment plan. Leong stated that Wal-Mart coordinated the construction crew to rebury the 'iwi in October 2004, then again in February 2005, but SHPD told Wal-Mart to stand down, which they did. Kanemoto asked Leong if all the 'iwi was accounted for. Leong stated that in February 2005 all the 'iwi was accounted for. Leong continued by stating that the two vaults for these burials were pre-fabricated vaults that SHPD had gotten the design from another project. SHPD ordered the two vaults for the October 2004 burial. Leong stated that according to Wal-Mart's consultant's calculations, there would be sufficient space for all 63 'iwi in the two vaults. However, she would have to defer to SHPD whether there is, in fact, sufficient space and how they are going to place the 'iwi in the vaults. Leong stated that in February 2005, the last time they were preparing for the reburial, all the 'iwi had been accounted for and Wal-Mart did not hear anything about the expansion of the area or a third vault.

(Mahi and Josephides leaves at 12:25 p.m.)

Leong added that it is Wal-Mart's wish that the 'iwi be reinterred as soon as possible. However, Wal-Mart recognizes the concerns and the due-process rights of the defendants in the case. Wal-Mart would like to see the hearing expedited as quickly as possible so that the 'iwi can be reinterred. Leong expressed her disappointment that, in November, BLNR expressed a desire to appoint a hearings officer, but a hearings officer has not yet been chosen.

Kanemoto informed the council that William Cooper, of the Attorney General's Office, will be the attorney representing the Board of Land and Natural Resources. Mr. Cooper will be the one who will be dealing with the staff regarding the procedural aspects of the case. Kanemoto added that he will have no involvement with the case.

Tiffany asked how the number of 'iwi was raised from 44 to 63. Kaleikini responded by stating that the additional remains were discovered after the investigation – some co-mingled remains were acknowledged. Tiffany asked Kaleikini if the additional discovery was after the approval for the 44. Kaleikini stated that was true and is now asking for an expansion. Leong stated that SHPD has to decide how to place the 'iwi in the vaults and determine if there will be sufficient room. Kaleikini felt that the 'iwi will be cramped in the two vaults, even for only 44.

Ehrhorn asked who is paying for the vaults. Chang responded that SHPD has already ordered and paid for the vaults; but Leong stated that Wal-Mart's agreement with SHPD was if the vaults were used for the reburial of these 'iwi, Wal-Mart will reimburse them. Kaleikini stated that she spoke to Mr. Cooper and he stated to Kaleikini that if the selected hearings officer wanted to view the 'iwi, they would allow that. However, if the hearings officer does not want to view the 'iwi, reburial can take place soon after that. Diamond concluded the discussion by suggesting that the Chair communicate to the BLNR Chair that it is the council's wish that a hearings officer be selected as soon as possible so a decision to view the 'iwi can be made and ultimately reinter the 'iwi.

E. Status Update on Section 106/NAGPRA Correspondence

Greenwood started her update by stating that she has reviewed numerous reports relating to projects at Schofield Barracks and Kahuku Training Area. She informed the council that she has been in contact with various people involved in these projects to get further information and possibly arrange a site visit. She stated that she would like to see all the cultural monitor reports for these projects. She had some concerns about the military listing some Hawaiian organizations as “potential” lineal descendants to some of these projects. She asked the council if she could look into clarifying the concern of some organizations being listed in reports as lineal descendants.

Diamond said that the military should be using the NAGPRA definition to classify these organizations as cultural or lineal descendants. He went on to say that it is very hard to demonstrate a lineal connection and if they are an organization, it is not possible for them to be lineal descendants as a group unless they are all related.

Paik stated that this issue relates back to an earlier discussion on the council’s goals, objectives, and direction. She pointed out that the council is supposed to maintain a list of appropriate Hawaiian organizations but the council doesn’t actually recognize those organizations.

A motion was made to allow the Section 106/NAGPRA sub-committee designees to discuss with OHA about developing a clarification of the rules that relate to a group being recognized as a lineal descendant. (Diamond/McKeague)

Paik asked why OHA was being singled out for this discussion. Diamond responded that OHA was the only one recognized. Paik asked if there was a list and who is on the list. She expressed her concern because it is not clear that there is, in fact, a list and if this list had been approved. Diamond stated that OHA has been identified as native Hawaiian organization pursuant to NAGPRA and Section 106.

Chair McQuivey asked the two sub-committee designees if they are willing to accept the task to meet with OHA. Greenwood and Ehrhorn accepted the task.

VOTE: NINE VOTES IN FAVOR; ONE ABSTENSION (Paik). Motion carries.

VI. SHPD INADVERTENT DISCOVERY REPORT

Tiffany requested that, when 'iwi are discovered in her region (especially in the Ko'olina area), SHPD staff call her before any tests are done.

Chang referred the members to the monthly summary report of inadvertent discoveries of human skeletal remains, which was previously provided to the members in their packets. She read into the record the contents of her March 2, 2006 memo to the council.

Ehrhorn asked about status of 'iwi discovered during the Queen Street widening project a couple of years ago where there was a debate about whether to reinter the 'iwi on State or

City property. Chang stated that she will follow up and report her findings to Ehrhorn directly.

VII. ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. Board of Water Supply Case Update for Punalu‘u Inadvertent Discovery [TMK: 5-3-01, 02, 04, 05, 06]

(Keliikoa recused himself at 1:18 pm.)

Alani Apio updated the council on the 64 sets of remains that were disinterred from the above-cited project. The ‘iwi were stored in a trailer on Ahi Logan’s property. On Monday, March 6, 2006, BWS assisted SHPD in relocating the ‘iwi from one trailer to another and moved the trailer from Ahi Logan’s property to Deldrene Heron's property. The ‘iwi were relocated for two reasons: 1) the trailer, which had been used since 2001 and was located 50 yards from the shoreline, became compromised due to the salt air; 2) Mr. Logan had requested to be relieved of the kuleana of the 'iwi. The 'iwi was reinventoried prior to moving, transferred to another trailer provided by BWS, and moved to Ms. Heron's property which is gated. Ms. Heron has agreed to be the caretaker and kahu. SHPD has control of the trailer.

BWS will be coming up with a reinterment plan that would reinter the 'iwi kupuna in vaults similar to other reburials. BWS is considering a location on the Kaneohe side of the puu where Hanawao Heiau sits. Apio was told by Cultural Surveys Hawaii that the survey area can be used for the burial and Cultural Surveys will be submitting a formal report to SHPD stating that. In the meantime, BWS will have a TOPOL and soil sample report done on the area, and they will be engaging an architectural construction firm to design the structure. When the plan is completed, it will be submitted to SHPD, Kamehameha Schools, and all the recognized descendents. Apio concluded by stating that BWS made a commitment to reinter the 'iwi kupuna by the end of this year.

Kuheha stated that his family was not invited to the meetings with the trustees of Bishop Estate to go over the reburial site. However, Apio interjected that BWS has never held a meeting with the trustees. Kuheha expressed his desire to be included in meetings where the reburial site will be discussed.

Apio stated that Kuheha was advised in 2001 that he could submit an alternative burial treatment plan if he wished to do so. Apio also clarified that BWS had not met with the trustees of Kamehameha Schools – all BWS had done was ask for permission and survey KSBE’s lands to see if there was an appropriate location for reburial. Apio assured Kuheha that he and all the other descendents will be consulted with when the burial treatment plan comes to the forefront.

(Keliikoa returned at 1:39 pm).

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made and seconded to adjourn the meeting (Ehrhorn/Diamond).

VOTE: ALL IN FAVOR. Motion carries.

The meeting adjourned at 1:40 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Susan Yanos, SHPD Secretary and
Piilani Chang, SHPD Oahu Cultural Historian